

Outcome Based Education

(Assessment and CQI)

YAHYA BIN SAMIAN

yahyasamian@utm.my yahya@fkm.utm.my

Deputy Director CTL, UTMLead UTM

For UTM Academic Staff 15^{th.} May 2014

innovative • entrepreneurial • global



Expected Outcomes

At the end of this talk, you should be able to

- 1. *Plan and Map* the *Assessment methods* to the Intended Learning Outcomes.
- 2. *Develop appropriate Assessment methods* that are able to measure the intended learning outcomes of the course.
- *3. Analyse* and *Documents Assessment Result* to enable the CQI process to be carried out effectively.



Part 1 : OBE — Constructive Alignment

Part 2 : Assessment Process



Part 3 : Continuous Quality Improvement Hands On : Assessment Mapping

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\text{C}}}$ 2013 Hakcipta Terpelihara Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

innovative • entrepreneurial • global



PROGRAMME

TIME	PROGRAMME
08.30 - 09.00	Registration
09.00 - 10.00	<i>Part 1 :</i> OBE Overview – Constructive Alignment
10.00 - 10.30	Tea Break
10.30 - 12. 30	Part 2 : Assessment Process
12.30 - 14.00	Lunch Break
14.00 - 15.00	Part 3 : Continuous Quality Improvements
15.00 - 16.30	Part 4 : Hands on – Assessment Mapping
16.30 - 17.00	Tea Break



Part 1 Outcome Based Education







Education is all about making a person a *Good Human Being*. A good human being is a person who *do good* to him/her self, to his/her family, to the society, to the nation and to other human being and other creations.



innovative • entrepreneurial • global



"Outcome-based education means <u>starting</u> <u>with</u> a clear picture of what is important for <u>students to be able to do</u>, <u>then</u> organising the <u>curriculum</u>, <u>instruction</u>, and <u>assessment</u> to make sure that this learning ultimately happens." (Spady, 1994)



OBE : Outcomes Based Education

Education <u>System</u> that focus /emphasis on the <u>development</u> and <u>achievement</u> of student's outcomes

OBE - Constructive Alignment



innovative • entrepreneurial • global

JTM

OBE - is an Education System that;

- Require the Learning Outcomes to be made explicit and visible
- Aligned Assessment to the intended learning outcomes
- Aligned Delivery (Learning Activities & Environments) to the intended learning outcomes
- Provide necessary infrastructures and support system for the above to happen

Why OBE - Washington Accord

www.washingtonaccore	l.org/EMF/signatories.cfm		☆ ▼ C 🛛 🔠 ▼ washington accord members	م .
/isited 🔮 Getting Start	ed 🔊 Latest Headlines 🛄 Addresses			
	Working Together to Advar	nce Benchmarking and Mobility in the Engineering Profession	n	
		International Professional Projector American		
	Home	International Professional Engineers Agreement		
	Members' Area	Members have full rights of participation in the agreement; each		
	Washington Accord	engineer (IntPE) register; registrants on these national sections	Members Login	
	Sydney Accord	may receive credit when seeking registration or licensure in the	Organisation:	
	Dublin Accord	jurisdiction of another member.	Password:	
	International Professional Engineers Agreement	Australia - Represented by Engineers Australia (1997) Canada - Represented by Engineers Canada (1997)	Forgotten password? Login	
	> Members	Chinese Taipei - Represented by Chinese Institute of Engineers (2)	2009)	
	> Contact	Hong Kong China - Represented by The Hong Kong Institution of E	ingineers (1997)	
	> Foundation Documents	India - Represented by Institution of Engineers India (2009) Ireland Represented by Engineers Ireland (4007)		
	> IPEA Credit Documents	 Japan - Represented by Institution of Professional Engineers Japa 	an (1999)	
	> How to Apply	Korea - Represented by Korean Professional Engineers Association	on (2000)	5
	APEC Engineer	 Malaysia - Represented by Institution of Engineers Malaysia (1999) 		2
	International Engineering Technologist Agreement	New Zealand - Represented by Institution of Professional Engineer Singapore - Represented by Institution of Engineers Singapore (20 South Africa, Descended by Engineering Operation (20)	rs NZ (1997) 007)	
	Policies & Procedures	South Africa - Represented by <u>Engineering Council of South Africa</u> Sri Lanka - Represented by Institution of Engineers Sri Lanka (200	1(1397)	
	Graduate/professional competence profiles	United Kingdom - Represented by Engineering Council UK (1997) United States - Represented by National Council of Examiners for	Engineering and Surveying (1997)	

UTTM



innovative • entrepreneurial • global



Why OBE - MQA





OBE APPROACH

3 Stages of Backward Design



Are the desired results, assessments, and learning activities ALIGNED?



innovative • entrepreneurial • global



Planning & Designing





Reviewing Learning Outcomes

Based on your course outline;

- 1. Select ONE course learning outcome (CO) that you are comfortable with
- Explain (in writing) What Exactly do you expect your student to be able to do
 / deliver / demonstrate from the outcome.
- 3. Does it fall under Technical Knowledge or Generic Skills ?
- 4. If it is categorized as GS, which MoHE GS elements is being addressed?
- 5. Identify Which Learning Domain that the outcome is addressing?
- 6. At What Level of Taxonomies ?
- 7. What to be measured and How to measure the intended learning outcome?
- 8. How do you intend to teach (deliver) the learning outcome to your students?
- 9. Which PO(s) that is being addressed by this CO (CO-PO Mapping)?

Does the learning outcome *deliverable, measurable and achievable*. Do you think you need to rewrite / refine the learning outcomes - gives your comment and suggest improvement if necessary.

innovative • entrepreneurial • global



Sample

1. Select ONE course learning outcome (CO) that you are comfortable with

At the end of this course students should be able to;

Assess the stability of a ship or floating structures correctly based on IMO requirements

2. Explain (in writing) What Exactly do you expect your student to be able to do / deliver / demonstrate from the outcome.

Given a ship or Floating Structures data and drawing, the student should be able to;

- Read and Extract the necessary information from the data / drawing
- Perform necessary calculation using appropriate formula and calculation procedures to determine stability parameters
- Analyse the parameters based on IMO requirement to determine whether the ship is stable or not
- Write the result in appropriate format



Sample

3. Does it fall under Technical Knowledge or Generic Skills ?

Technical Knowledge

4. If it is categorized as GS, which MoHE GS elements is being addressed?

Not Relevant

- 5. Identify Which Learning Domain that the outcome is addressing?
- 6. At What Level of Taxonomies ?

Cognitive domain (Knowledge) at Level 5 - Evaluation



7. What to be measured and How to measure the intended learning outcome?

- Ability to read and extract appropriate data
- Ability to calculate correctly
- Ability to use IMO rules and making judgment on the ship stability

(Measure through stability project report based on real ship data/drawing - written report)

- 8. How do you intend to **teach (deliver)** the learning outcome to your students?
 - Giving lecture on concept and method of calculation
 - Ask student to work out examples and exercises in class
 - Show real examples of stability assessment (from stability booklet) and let them study the booklet
 - Give mini project on ship stability (in group) based on real ship data
 - Facilitate and monitor students work via weekly discussion
 - Ask the to present their works





CLO MAPPING

NO	COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	тк / gs	DOMAIN / ELEMENTS	LEVEL	РО	T&L	ASSESSMENT
1	Assess the stability of a ship or floating structures correctly based on IMO requirements	Read and Extract Calculate Analyse Write	ТК	С	5	PO 3	Lecture, show real sample, mini project, presentation	Ability to read and extract appropriate data, calculate correctly, use IMO rules and making judgment on the ship stability
2								
3								
4								
5								



About Learning Outcomes









About Course Delivery







About SCL

Problem Based Learning / Case Study / Modular / Inquiry-based learning / Discovery learning / Case-based learning / Project-based learning / Problem-oriented project-based learning / Cooperative learning / Brainstorming / Field-based learning / Constructivist learning / Web-based learning /Computer-based learning / Experiential learning / Peer Instruction / Scenario Based.....



About Course Assessment





ASSESSEMNT - The Tools

Outcomes

Describe

Explain

Integrate Analyse Apply Solve problem Design, create Reflect

Communicate

Possible Assessment Tasks

essay question, exam, oral presentation (peer assessment) assignment, essay question exam, oral, letter-to-a-friend project, assignment case study, assignment project, case study, experiment case study, project, experiment project, experiment reflective diary, portfolio, self-assessment a range of oral, writing or listening tasks, e.g. presentation, debate, role play, reporting, assignment, paraphasing, answering questions etc.

Source : Professor John Biggs



About Learning Taxonomy







innovative • entrepreneurial • global



innovative • entrepreneurial • global



Affective Domain

Feeling, Emotions, Attitude, Beliefs

First developed by Bloom (1956), revised by Bloom, Krathwhol and Masia (1964)

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	BEHAVIOUR
1	RECEIVE	AWARENESS
2	RESPOND	REACT
3	VALUE	UNDERSTAND & ACT
4	ORGANIZE	DEVELOP VALUE SYSTEM
5	INTERNALIZE	BEHAVE CONSISTENTLY



Psychomotor Domain

SIMPSON

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	BEHAVIOUR
1	PERCEPTION	AWARENESS
2	SET	READINESS
3	GUIDED RESPONSE	ATTEMPT
4	MECHANISM	BASIC PROFICIENCY
5	COMPLEX OVERT RESPONSE	EXPERT PROFICIENCY
6	ADAPTATION	ADAPTABLE PROFICIENCY
7	ORIGINATION	CREATIVE PROFICIENCY


<u>OBE – Paradigm shift</u>

The success of OBE requires the following paradigm shift;

• From Grade Oriented to Outcomes Oriented

• From Content Based to Ability Based

• From Lecturer Centred to Student Centred



End of Part 1

www.utm.my



Part 2 Assessment Process







OBE - The Assessment

Assessment is a process of *measuring* and *collecting* the data (mark / score) in a manner that enable us to *analyse* the **achievement** of the intended **learning outcomes** and the **effectiveness** of the **learning activities**.

.....It is similar to conducting experiment or research works



Observing, measuring, recording, analysing of student's performance against certain standard/expectation

For What Purpose?

1. GRADING.

2. IMPROVEMENT

UTM Assessment - Term & Definition

Terms	Definition	Common terms for same concept
Objectives	Statements that describe the expected accomplishments of graduates during the first few years after graduation.	Goals, outcomes
Outcomes	Statements that describe what students are expected to know and able to do by the time of graduation.	Objectives, standards.
Performance Criteria	Specific, <u>measurable</u> statements identifying the performance(s) required to meet the outcome; confirmable through evidence.	Standards, indicators, rubrics, specifications, metrics, outcomes
Assessment	Processes that identify, collect, use and prepare data that can be used to evaluate achievement.	Evaluation
Evaluation	Process of reviewing the results of data collection and analysis and making a determination of the value of findings and action to be taken.	Assessment

ASSESSEMENT - Taxonomy of Approaches



innovative • entrepreneurial • global



- Formative Assessment: "The collection of data and the feedback of the results on an ongoing basis" (G. Rogers & J. Sando, 1996) For Continuous Improvement to students learning and T&L activities
- Summative Assessment: "Designed to produce information that can be used to make decisions about the overall success of the project or process." (G. Rogers & J. Sando, 1996) – For grading purposes



Direct measures provide for the direct examination or observation of student knowledge or skills against measurable learning outcomes – *From Assignments, tests, final exam, reports, presentation, etc, where the COs and POs can be measured directly.*

Indirect measures of student learning ascertain the perceived extent or value of learning experiences – *From course end survey, exit survey, stake holder survey, interview etc.*



Assessment Of Learning (AoL)

Assessment For Learning (AfL)

Assessment As Learning (AaL)

www.utm.my



innovative • entrepreneurial • global

www.utm.my



- STEP 1 : Planning the Assessment
- **STEP 2** : Developing / Using Appropriate Assessment Tools
- STEP 3 : Collecting Detail Data
- STEP 4 : Calculating the Result of Achievement
- STEP 5 : Analysing the Result
- **STEP 6 : Propose Improvements**
- STEP 7 : Documentation



STEP 1 : Planning the Assessment

In *Previous Academic System*, Planning of assessment was mainly based on Syllabus, Topics, Level of Difficulty, mark distribution etc.

(Or.....No planning at all – Recycle)

Under OBE, Planning of assessment should be based on Outcomes (CLO and PO) and the distribution of mark to be based on CLO-PO Mapping.

Assessment Process - Planning

Example of CLO-PO Mapping

SMK 2722	2 - SHIP PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY - SEM II 2007-08 - AN	ALYS	IS ON I	PO IMI	PLEM	EÑTÂT	ION					
		Acquire Knowledge	Apply Knowledge	Design & Evaluate	Critical Thinking	Lead and Manage	Tech Report Writing	Communication	Team Working	Entrepreneurship	Life Long Learning	Professional Ethics
CLO NO	CLO DESCRIPTION	PO 1	PO 2	PO 3	PO 4	PO 5	PO 6	PO 7	PO 8	PO 9	PO 10	PO 11
1	Write down clearly the importance and development of shipbuilding industry in Malaysia	а										
2	Draw the production flow Chart of a ship and explain in writing individual process involved	а										
3	Determine the factors for selecting location, Draw typical layout, and list down facilities of a modern Shipyard	а	с	С	с							
4	State down clearly the important aspects of ship production systems and apply the concept in a selected case study	а	а		с							
5	Write down clearly the importance and application of computers and automation in shipbuilding industry	а										
6	Discuss the importance and tasks involved in ship survey, repair and conversion	а										
7	Seek and summarized additional information form various sources on certain topic given in the assignment										1	
8	Work in group effectively during class discussion and in solving some of the assignment given					2		1	2			
9	Present clearly using the available presentation tool within the specific time the short Assignment given in the class							1	2			
	TOTAL	6a	1a, 1c	1c	2c	2	0	1	2	0	1	0

M

Assessment Process - Planning

Sem / Sesi (Sem / Session) : II 208-09 Kod Kursus (Course Code) : SMK 2722													
Nama Kursus (Course Marsa)													
Nama Ronsvarah / Ronvolaras													
Nallia Felisyalali / Feliyelalas	(Lecture)	r(s) / Colored and colored a	orainator	Name) : T									
% Pep Aknir Dan Keselurunan	Pennala		Finai Exa	m From I	otal Asses	sment) : 00							
Aranan Peperiksaan (Examinati	on Instru	Ction) : 🔽		questions									
NO SOALAN	CLO					JUMLAH %	MASA - Min	ULASAN PENYEMAK/PANEL					
(Question No)		PO 1	PO 2	PO 3	PO 4	(% Total)	(Time - Min)	(Moderator's/Panel Comments)					
Question 1													
a, b & c		10				25	45						
d	1		10			23	40						
е				5									
Question 2													
a & b	2	10				25	45						
c & d	2		10			25	40						
е	3			5									
Question 3													
a, b & c	3	10				05	45						
d			10			25	45						
е	4			5									
Question 4	-												
a & b		10				05	45						
c & d	5		10			25	45						
е	1			5									
Question 5													
a, b, c & d	c	15				25	45						
е	0				10								
JUMLAH PERATUS				45	40	400	400	NAMA PENYEMAK (Moderator's Name)					
(Total Percentage)		45	30	15	10	100	180	(
Programme Outcomes : PO 1	- Acquire	& Under	stand Kno	owledae	PO 2 - Anr	olv Knowledge	PO 3 - Design	& Evaluate, PO 4 - Critical Thinking & Creativity					
	, loquito			·	- / \P	., raiomougo,	- Doorgin						

innovative • entrepreneurial • global

UTTM IVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

Assessment Process - Planning

SEM / SESI (Sem / Session) : II	EM / SESI (Sem / Session) : II 2008-09 KOD KURSUS (Course Code) : SMK 2722												
NAMA KURSUS (Course Name) :	SHIP PRODU	JCTION TECHN	OLOGY			KAEDAH	1 P & P (7	& L Metl	hod) : Lee	cture, AL,	CL, PBL,	Video	
NAMA PENSYARAH / PENYELAF	RAS (Lecturer)	/ Coordinator Na	me) : YA	HYA BIN S	SAMIAN								
% PEP. AKHIR (% of Final Exam):			6	D	% KERJA KURSUS (% of Course Works):					4	0	
					AGIHAN	MARKA	AH SETIAI	PPO (Ma	rk Distrib	ution for e	each PO)		
KERJA KURSUS	CLO	(% Marks)	PEN	GETAHUA		KAL			KEMA	HIRAN GE	NERIK		
(Course Works)	020	(70 Warks)	(7	Technical I	Knowledg	e)			(G	eneric Ski	ills)		
		PO	PO 1	PO 2	PO 3	PO 4	PO 5	PO 6	PO 7	PO 8	PO 9	PO 10	PO 11
Class Ex - SPT	5,7	2				2							
Class Ex - CPA	5	2		1.2			0.8						
Quiz - PF	2	3	3										
Class Ex - SYL	3	2								2			
Class Ex - SYL	6	2	2										
Quiz - SYL	7	2		2									
Ref - LM	8	2							2				
Quiz - OBE	5	3							3				
Class Ex - CPA	6	2		0.8			1.2						
FE - Eng	9	2						2					
Test 1	1,2,3	15							4.5			10.5	
Atten	8	3											3
JUMLAH KERJA KURSUS (Total course Works)	1-9	40	5	4	0	2	2	2	9.5	2	0	10.5	3
PEP AKHIR (Final Exam)	1-6	60	27	18	9	6							
JUMLAH MARKAH (Total Marks) PO (Programme Outcomes) : I	PO 1 - Acquire	100 & Understand k	32	22	9 Apply Kn	8 owledge	2 PO 3 - DA	2	9.5	2 PO 4 - Cri	0 tical Think	10.5	3 ativity

PO 5 - Lead & Manage, PO 6 - Writting, PO 7 - Communication, PO 8 - Team Working, PO 9 - Entrepreneurship, PO 10 - Life Long Learning, PO 11 - Professional E

Assessment Process – Planning (Simpler Version)

				CLO	O DISTRI	BUTION			PO DISTRIBUTION				
				CLO 1 Description	CLO 2 Description	CLO 3 Description	TOTAL	PO 1 Description	PO 2 Description	PO 3 Description	PO 4 Description	TOTAL	
NO	ASSESSMENT ITEMS	Full Mark	%	CLO 1	CLO 2	CLO 3		PO 1	PO 2	PO 3	PO 4		
1	Quiz 1	10	5	100			100	80	20			100	5
2	Test 1 - Question 1	5	5	100			100	50	50			100	20
3	Test 1 - Question 2	15	15		100		100		40	60		100	20
4	Assignment Report 1 - Content	50	10			100	100	10	40	50		100	
5	Assignment Report 1 - Layout & Organization	20	4			100	100				100	100	20
6	Assignment Report 1 - Writing Skills	30	6			100	100				100	100	
7	Test 2 (a)	25	7.5	50	50		100		20	80		100	4 6
8	Test 2 (b)	25	7.5		100		100			100		100	15
9	Final Exam - Section A - Q1-Q6	50	20	40	60		100	100				100	
10	Final Exam - Section B - Q1	20	8	100			100		100			100	40
11	Final Exam - Section B - Q2	20	8		100		100		100			100	40
12	Final Exam - Section C	10	4			100	100			100		100	
	TOTAL		100	29.75	46.25	24	100	27.5	31	31.5	10	100	100
				CLO CO	ONTRIBU	TION		РС	CONT	RIBUTIC	ON		

innovative • entrepreneurial • global

TI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

www.utm.my

Assessment Process – Planning (Much Simpler Version)

		0/	FULL	CLO 1	CLO 2	CLO 3	CLO 4	CLO 5
NU	ASSESSIVIEINT TLEIVIS	70	MARK	PO 1	PO 2	PO 4	PO 7	PO 11
1	ASSESSMENT 1	5	10	ð				
2	ASSESSMENT 2	10	100		ð			
3	ASSESSMENT 3	15	50					ð
4	ASSESSMENT 4	20	100				ð	
5	ASSESSMENT 5	25	25			ð		
6	ASSESSMENT 6	25	40		ð			
	TOTAL	100		5	35	25	20	15

ð : Represent 100 % mapping

Provided : 1 CLO mapped to 1 PO and 1 Assessment Item Mapped to 1 CLO



STEP 2 : Developing / Using Appropriate Tools, Form, Rubrics etc

Developing the assessment elements (Final exam, test, quizes etc) and assessment form/templates/rubrics based on the PO / Bloom's Taxonomy



SUBJECT CODE	: SMK 2722 / SZL 2722 / SM	IL 3712
SUBJECT NAME	: SHIP PRODUCTION TECHN	IOLOGY
COURSE	: 2 / 3 SMK / SZL	
TIME	: 2 1/2 Hours	NI EXAM
DATE	: April 2008	FINAL



SECTION A [25 MARKS]: Choose five (5) questions from this Section

- 1. List five reasons why Malaysia need its own Shipbuilding Industry
- 2. Draw a typical **Exam** 2. Draw a typical **Exam** Chart of a shipbuilding process for steel ship

[5 Marks]

 State clearly the definition of Welding and list down welding types that are normally used in ship construction.

[5 Marks]

BLOOM'S TAXONOMY 1 - PO 1

SECTION D [15 MARKS] : Answer all questions in this section FINAL EXAM

 You (and your team members) have been appointed as consultant to a government agency (MIGHT) to carry out a study on the latest information and status on computer application in Malaysia Shipbuilding Industry. In accepting the job, you need to prepare a short proposal indicating that you are capable to deliver the job successfully. The proposal should at least include the following;

BLOOM'S TAXONOMY 6 - PO 3

Assessment - Crafting FE

(SMK 3343)

QUESTION 3

3 (a) Using simple lay man language and in not more than one page, explain your understanding about Damaged Stability. *(Clue; what, why, how,)*.

8 marks

(b) *Mavi Marmara II* (the same ship as in Question 1), having LBP 126m, Breadth 24m, Depth 11.0 m and displacement 15000 tonnes, KB and KG is 3.9 m and 7.35 m above keel respectively.

During one of her humanitarian voyage, while the ship is floating at draft 6.45m, one of the forward compartments at port side was damaged by the external forces. Based on the GA drawing the damaged compartment has the following particulars; Average waterplane size, length 11m x width 10m, centroid at 27m forward of amidships, 2.5m from Centre line on port side. The compartment can be approximately assumed having a cuboid shape with the centre of volume at 0.5 draft and permeability for volume and area 0.65. Determine whether the ship is still survive at this damaged codition.

12 marks

(c) If you are one of the activist on board the ship during the incident, what will be your advice to the ship's captain?.

5 marks

Which Bloom's Level ?

(SMK 3343)

3 (a) Using simple lay man language and in not more than one page, explain your understanding about Damaged Stability. *(Clue; what, why, how,)*.

8 marks

The Answering Process

- 1. Reading and understanding the question
- 2. Deciding the time required to answer this question based on mark
- 3. Recalling (from memory) important fact about damaged stability
- 4. Planning the answer based on what, why, how, who and when (mind mapping)
- 5. Writing the answer based on the mind map within the given time

Memorizing the facts and Explaining in writing – BL 2

Which Bloom's Level ?

(b) *Mavi Marmara II* (the same ship as in Question 1), having LBP 126m, Breadth 24m, Depth 11.0 m and displacement 15000 tonnes, KB and KG is 3.9 m and 7.35 m above keel respectively.

During one of her humanitarian voyage, while the ship is floating at draft 6.45m, one of the forward compartments at port side was damaged by the external forces. Based on the GA drawing the damaged compartment has the following particulars; Average waterplane size, length 11m x width 10m, centroid at 27m forward of amidships, 2.5m from Centre line on port side. The compartment can be approximately assumed having a cuboid shape with the centre of volume at 0.5 draft and permeability for volume and area 0.65. Determine whether the ship is still survive at this damaged codition.

12 marks

The Answering Process

- 1. Reading and understanding the question
- 2. Identifying the problem to be solved (Parameters to be calculated)
- 3. Highlighting all the information / data given relate them to the problem posed



Which Bloom's Level ?

The Answering Process

- 4. Planning the calculation procedure, step by step
- 5. For each step, recalling the appropriate formula / theory / concept to be used
- 6. Performing the calculation, using the appropriate formula and making full used of the data given
- 7. Checking the accuracy of the calculation
- 8. Writing the final answer in appropriate format (one unique answer)
- 9. Writing the conclusion (Survive or not) based on the result

Memorizing , understanding, and applying the knowledge to solve the problem – BL 3



(c) If you are one of the activist on board the ship during the incident, what will be your advice to the ship's captain?.

5 marks

The Answering Process

- 1. Reading and understanding the question
- 2. Analyse the status (survival) of the ship based on result calculated in (b)
- 3. Think of all possible options (alternatives) to deal with the situation
- 4. Evaluate and select the most appropriate option to be adopted
- Write the argument behind the selection. Provide some calculation / fact to assist the argument
- 6. Conclude the suggestion (advice) as required

NO UNIQUE SOLUTION

Analyse, Evaluate and Propose – BL 6



The categorization of Bloom's Taxonomy Level shall not be decided merely by the "*action verb*" used in the question,

rather

It should be based on the "*process*" that the student is expected to go through in answering the question.

SMK 4542 : SHIP DESIGN III : REPORT ASSESSMENT FORM

TTM TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

PRC	DJECT NO :					
PRC	DJECT TITLE :		SESSION	4 :		
GRO	DUP NO :		EXAMINE	ER :		
NO	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	РО	%	SCORE (1 - 10)	MARK	COMMENT
A : I	REPORT ORGANIZATION		10			
1	All deliverable contents included	PO 6	3			
2	Professional report layout and organizaton	PO 6	4			
3	Contents are sequenced appropriately	PO 6	3			
B :7	TECHNICAL CONTENT		75			
1	Executive summary is clearly written	PO 6	5			
2	Introduction is relevant to report content	PO 6	7			
3	Aims / Objectives of report written clearly and precisely	PO 6	5			
4	Theoritical Background / Basic Concept Incuded	PO 2	5			
5	Calculation Procedure written clearly step by step	PO 2	10		ORT	
6	Example of calculation is given and relavent	PO 2	5		DEPUN	
7	Calculation done completely and accurately	PO 2	8		UP.	
8	Result presented in professional format	PO 2	5			
9	Discussion of result is relevant and valid	PO 2	5			
10	Suggetion for improvemnt is discussed	PO 4	5			
11	Conclusion of report written clearly	PO 6	5			
12	Reference included and cited in the report	PO 10	5			
13	All relevant details are shown in Appendixes	PO 2	5			
C : 1	LANGUAGE		15			
1	Smooth flow of thought and easy to understand	PO 6	5			
_2	Proper use of terms and symbols	PO 6	5			
2	Proper used of words and grammer	PO 6	5			
	TOTAL		100			
	OVERALL COMMENT :			FINAL	MARK	SIGNATURE
	Shin D	asian III - <i>Re</i>	eport Assessm	ent Form - Ya	hya Samian - De	pt of marine Technology, FKM, UTM - 2008



EXAMPLE OF ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

	SCALE	0 - 3	4 - 5	6 - 7	8 - 10
NO	CRITERIA	FAIL	PASS	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1	CONTENTS	Not relevant, Outdated, not appropriate to the audience	Only part of the content relevant, some information were outdated, not fully addressing audience need	Most content were relevant, up to date but may not fully addressing audience specific need	All contents were relevant, up to date, specific to audience need
2	SLIDES	No or poorly prepared slides, text and pictures can not be understood, wrongly sequenced, no animation at all	Slides prepared half hazardly, some font, text and background colour were not appropriate, minimun diagram/pictures, some slides not properly sequence, no animation or additional features	es prepared half hazardly, some text and background colour were not appropriate, minimun gram/pictures, some slides not perly sequence, no animation or additional features Most font, text size, and background colour are appropriate, relevant diagrams /pictures included, approriately sequence, partly animated but n additional features	
3	SPELLING & GRAMMAR	Frequently used poor or wrong sentence, term and caption, too many spelling and grammar mistakes	Some of the sentence, term and caption were not appropriate, several spelling and grammar mistakes	Sentence, term and caption mostly appropriate, minimum spelling and grammar mistakes	Use appropriate sentence, term and caption, correct spelling and perfect grammar
4	REFFERENCES / SOURCES	No or very little references and outdated and not properly cited and formatted	Not enough references tome are out dated, cites Peter for consistent, not properly formatted	Adequate references, some may not up to date, correctly cited and formatted	More than adequate references, up to date, properly cited, correct format
5	DELIVERY	Cant hear properly, no eye contact at all, restless audience, late and poor time management	Loud but may not be very clear, some eye contact, low audience attention, time not properly managed	Loud and clear, some eye contact, retain part of audience attention, good time management.	Loud and clear presentation, good eye contact, able to fully retain audience attention, good time management.
6	APPEARENCES	Dressed poorly, ill mannered, little or no greeting, late	Dressed casually, fairly mannered, greeting and last minute appearance	Dressed appropriate to occasion with same level to audience, good mannered, greeting and punctual	Dressed appropriate to occasion and one level above audience, well mannered, adequate greeting, punctual.
7	QUESTION & ANSWER	Not answering the question or wrongly answer, don't understand the question at all, raised unnecessary argument, rude	Listen but not fully understood the question, answer partly correct, no rude argument	Listen to and understood the question, answer correctly but longer than necessary, polite	Listen tentatively, understood the question correctly, answer correctly and precisely, express argument politely



STEP 3 : Collecting Detail Data

Assessment Mark / Score data to be collected in detail and grouped according to PO in order to support the calculation of PO attainment

<u>Assessment Process – Collecting Data</u>

NAMA (Dengan Huruf		UNTUK KEGUNAAN PEMERIKSA SAHAJA		of a constant UNITING AND	
Besar)	Soalan	Markah		No. Kad Pengenalan	Jangan tulis apa apa di kedua-du
NO.KP. 870717105387	1	1 21		No. Soalan	belah garisan
	1	Arx		SECTION A	
KOD & NAMA SHIP PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY (SM& 2722)	2			Question (1.)	
IVIDIA F ELOVADAN	3	6 00	1	N IN THE ASSESS MARKAGE BUT AND	
KUMPULAN DI	-	Bring		-Malaysia need own shipbuilding Industry because:	
NAMA	4				
PENSYARAH H) YAMYA B SAMURIN	5	p 1.9		1) to reduce the dependency to the fer foreign	
TARIKH PEPERIKSAAN 27/04/08	6	CAL		Ship •	
				2) to supp provade local transportation.	
	7	n-2.		3) To provide job oppurturnity	
ARAHAN KEPADA CALON	8	1000		4) As militry reason - stratergy	
Isikan butir-butir di atas dengan terang dan mudah dibaca. Tuliskan jawanan di kedua dua mukasurat huku kertas jawanan				5) source of foreign exchange	
 Mulakan sesuatu jawapan bagi setiap soalan di muka yang baru. 	9			La vala a transfer a series	
4. Tuliskan nombor kad pengenalan dan nombor soalan di sebelah atas	10				
setiap muka kertas jawapan. 5. Semua jawapan termasuk keria-keria percubaan mesti dibuat di kertas		1		Question (3)	
jawapan.	11		1		
6. Bulatkan nombor-nombor soalan yang dijawab di dalam ruangan yang diadiakan di asteksahalah kasan	12			- Welding is one of type in joinning method	
7. Mustahak: Ikatkan semua buku kertas jawapan dan kertas tambahan		1			
mengikut susunan nombor soalan yang anda jawap.	JUMLAH	SF		- The type of welding normally used :	
8. Dilarang membawa keluar buku kertas jawapan dan kertas tambahan yang talah digunakan atau yang belum digunakan dari Bilik Penerikaaan	D			i) Shield Metal Arc (SMA)	
telan ulgunakan atau yang belum ulgunakan, uan bilik repetikoadh.	X	1 100	1	ii) Gras fungsfun Are (GTM)	
	- X			III) Gras Metal Are (GrMB)	
	1			iv) Plosma Webding (PAW)	
				v) Submerged Arz Welding (SMV)	

innovative • entrepreneurial • global

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

Assessment Process – Collecting Data

- 2 -SMK 2722 / SZL 3702

QUESTION 1 [25 MARKS]

 a) State the general definition of Technology and gives example how this definition applied in shipbuilding Industry

Techno is mean software like managing, planning and scheduling. Logy means hardware like tools and machines. In shipkilling industry, we need proper managing, planning and other soft skills. Besides, tools like cutting tools, and machines and important in shipkuilding industry.

[3 Marks]

b) List down four (4) of the Nature of Shipbuilding Industry.

i. One off or tailor made ii. Heavy indust III. Large investment but iv. Need a bt of supporting [2 Marks]

c) One of the importance of shipbuilding industry is "to reduce nation's dependency on foreign ships that is owned and operated by foreign nations. This is inline with the independence status of each country". Briefly explain this statement.









UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING FINAL EXAMINATION SEMESTER II, SESSION 2008/2009

CHNOLOGY

COURSE CODE	:	SMK 2722/SZL 3702
COURSE NAME	:	SHIP PRODUCTION TE

- PROGRAMME : SMK/SZL
- DURATION : 3 HOURS
- DATE : APRIL/MAY, 2009

INSTRUCTION TO CANDIDATES:

- 1. CHOOSE 3 QUESTIONS FROM QUESTION 1 TO 4 AND ANSWER QUESTION 5.
- 2. ALL ANSWER MUST BE WRITTEN IN THE BLANK SPACE GIVEN IN THE EXAMINATION PAPER.







innovative • entrepreneurial • global

<u>Assessment Process – Collecting Data</u>

SMK 4542 : SHIP DESIGN III : REPORT ASSESSMENT FORM

ITT TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

PRC	DJECT NO: 3	SEM :	I		
PRC	DJECT TITLE: STATELITY COL FOR	SESSME	ESSION	: 2008	07
GRC	DUP NO :	-	EXAMINE	R: Of Marin	19 server.
NO	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	PO	%	SCORE MARK	COMMENT
A:F	REPORT ORGANIZATION	A State of the sta	10		
1	All deliverable contents included	PO 6	3	0.0	
2	Professional report layout and organizaton	PO 6	4	815	
3	Contents are sequenced appropriately	PO 6	3	010	
B : 1	TECHNICAL CONTENT		75	1	NO proceed has
1	Executive summary is clearly written	PO 6	5	0 4	100 Excents
2	Introduction is relevant to report content	PO 6	7	8	Summer .
3	Aims / Objectives of report written clearly and precisely	PO 6	5	70	
4	Theoritical Background / Basic Concept Incuded	PO 2	5	16-0	NO WREDION
5	Calculation Procedure written clearly step by step	PO 2	10	9-0	
6	Example of calculation is given and relavent	PO 2	5	18 D	
7	Calculation done completely and accurately	PO 2	8	8.5	1 1
8	Result presented in professional format	PO 2	5	9.0	Car Vyun
9	Discussion of result is relevant and valid	PO 2	5	\$0 /	Jac
10	Suggetion for improvemnt is discussed	PO 4	5	00.2	h 1 men
11	Conclusion of report written clearly	PO 6	5	8:5	1 ac la mai
12	Reference included and cited in the report	PO 10	5	7-0-	a lant
13	All relevant details are shown in Appendixes	PO 2	5	18.5	of weather
C:I	LANGUAGE		15		
1	Smooth flow of thought and easy to understand	PO 6	5	8.5	
2	Proper use of terms and symbols	PO 6	5	80	
2	Proper used of words and grammer	PO 6	5	8.5	
	TOTAL		100		
	OVERALL COMMENT :		13年1日世纪10	FINAL MARK	SIGNATURE
	Gord Kept		(73.9/1w	R
<u>Assessment Process – Collecting Data</u>

SMK 4722 : CAD/CAM - CLASS PRESENTATION ASSESSMENT

					GROUP	1		
	SCALE	0 - 3	4 - 5	6 - 7	8 - 10	CODE	WEICHT	
NU	CRITERIA	FAIL	PASS	GOOD	EXCELLENT	SCORE	WEIGHT	WARK
1	CONTENTS	Not relevant, Outdated, not appropriate to the audience	Only part of the content relevant, some information were outdated, not fully addressing audience need	Most content were relevant, up to date but may not fully addressing audience specific need	All contents were relevant, up to date, specific to audience need	6	30	180
2	SLIDES	No or poorly prepared slides, text and pictures can not be understood, wrongly sequenced, no animation at all	Slides prepared half hazardly, some font, text and background colour were not appropriate, minimun diagram/pictures, some slides not properly sequence, no animation or additional features	Most font, text size, and background colour are appropriate, relevant diagrams /pictures included, approriately sequence, partly animated but no additional features	Appropriate Font, size and text and background colour, Relevant and clear diagram/picture, properly sequence, Animated appropriately, has some additional features	6	20	120
3	SPELLING & GRAMMAR	Frequently used poor or wrong sentence, term and caption, too many spelling and grammar mistakes	Some of the sentence, term and caption were not appropriate, several spelling and grammar mistakes	Sentence, term and caption mostly appropriate, minimum spelling and grammar mistakes	Use appropriate sentence, term and caption, correct spelling and perfect grammar	8	10	80
4	REFFERENCES / SOURCES	No or very little references and outdated and not properly cited and formatted	Not enough references, some are out dated, cited but not consistent, not properly formatted	Adequate references, some may not up to date, correctly cited and formatted	More than adequate references, up to date, properly cited, correct format	0	10	0
5	DELIVERY	Cant hear properly, no eye contact at all, restless audience, late and poor time management	Loud but may not be very clear, some eye contact, low audience attention, time not properly managed	Loud and clear, some eye contact, retain part of audience attention, good time management.	Loud and clear presentation, good eye contact, able to fully retain audience attention, good time management.	6.5	15	97.5
6	APPEARENCES	Dressed poorly, ill mannered, little or no greeting, late	Dressed casually, fairly mannered, greeting and last minute appearance	Dressed appropriate to occasion with same level to audience, good mannered, greeting and punctual	Dressed appropriate to occasion and one level above audience, well mannered, adequate greeting, punctual.	7.5	5	37.5
7	QUESTION & ANSWER	Not answering the question or wrongly answer, don't understand the question at all, raised unnecessary argument, rude	Listen but not fully understood the question, answer partly correct, no rude argument	Listen to and understood the question, answer correctly but longer than necessary, polite	Listen tentatively, understood the question correctly, answer correctly and precisely, express argument politely	6	10	60
							57.5	575

www.utm.my



SMK 3343 - NAVAL ARCH II - SEM I 2010-11 -MARKS FOR DAMAGED STABILITY & LAUNCHING CAL (YAHYA SAMIAN)

	Assessment	Class Exercise	Class Exercise	Class Exercise	Class Exercise (Reflection)	Grou	p Assignme	ent (7)	Quiz (Bonjean)	Peer Marking
	Date	27-Sep	30-Sep	14-Oct	18-Oct		Week 12		25-Oct	25-Oct
	РО	PO 10 (1)	PO 2	PO 2	PO 1	PO 2	PO 8	PO 2	PO 2	PO 11
	CLO	3	3	3	?	3	3	3	3	5
	FULL MARK	4	10	6	10	18	5	18	13	1
	PERCENTAGES	5	5	5	4	0	5	15	10	5
NO	NAMA					SCORE				
1		2.5	7	0	6	16.6	4.0	5.4	9	1
2		2	0	3	5	8.5	3.7	3.1	7	0.7
3		1	5	2.5	4	15.8	4.6	9.1	5	1
4		1	0	4	7	0.0	1.1	0.0	8	1
5		1.5	7.5	3.75	8	13.5	4.6	10.0	6	1
6		3	4	4	8	16.6	4.6	15.1	0	0
7		3	6.5	3.75	7	9.5	2.8	9.6	7	1
8		2	6	6.5	9	9.0	2.1	5.6	10	1
9		2.5	0	5	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8	1
10		1.5	7	4	6	4.0	1.8	2.0	7	1
11		4	7.5	8	9	16.0	4.2	18.5	11	1
12		2.5	4.5	8	8	16.6	4.3	13.0	8	1
13		1.5	7.5	4.25	5	16.4	4.0	11.5	5	1
14		0	0	4	1	15.7	4.6	11.6	0	0
15		2	5.5	6	7	10.0	3.6	6.6	10	1

TI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA



<u>Assessment Process – Collecting Data</u>

SMK 3343 - NA II - SEM I 2010-11 - FINAL EXAM MARK (Q3)

	Assessment		FINAL EX	AM (Q3)	
	Date		11/28	/2010	
	РО	PO 1	PO 2	PO 4	
	CLO	3	3	3	
	FULL MARK	8	12	5	25
	PERCENTAGES	3.2	4.8	2	10
NO	NAMA	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	Total (25)
1		0	1.5	0	1.5
2		4	2	0	6
3		3	4.5	0	7.5
4		3	6.5	1	10.5
5		2	6.5	2	10.5
6		2	8.5	0.5	11
7		1.5	9.75	1.5	12.75
8		2	5	1	8
9		2.5	2	0	4.5
10		2.5	3.5	0	6
11		7	11.5	4	22.5
12		3	7	0	10
13		5	6	1	12
14		4	6.5	0	10.5
15		6	5.5	2.5	14

Assessment Process – Calculating

STEP 4 : Calculating the Result of Achievement

In previous academic system, only the total mark and grade matters. Under OBE, the PO achievement of each individual students need to be calculated.

Hence, detail calculation has to be carried out in order to determine on how much the student achieved his/her PO. It is a tedious work



SMK 2722 : SHIP PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY - SEM II 2007-08

NO	NAME	FINAL EXAM					TOTAL
NO	NAME	Sec A	Sec B	Sec C	Sec D	100%	40%
	PO Assessed	PO 1	PO 1	PO 2	PO 3		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
	FULL MARK	25	40	20	15	100	40
	PERCENTAGE TO TOTAL MARK	10	16	8	6	40	
1		21	14	12	1	48	19.2
2		24	30	17	5	76	30.4
3		17	18	5	0	40	16
4		18	15	13	8	54	21.6
5		10	15	13	5	43	17.2
6		14	17	14	8	53	21.2
7		20	21	9	6	56	22.4
8		15	17	7	6	45	18
9		15	11	7	8	41	16.4
10		13	24	11.5	8	56.5	22.6
11		9	15	12	4	40	16
12		15	20	13	7	55	22
13		16	23	9	6	54	21.6
14		23	22	12	10	67	26.8
15		22	20	4	5	51	20.4
16		19	26.5	16	9	70.5	28.2
17		11	18	12	6	47	18.8
18		7	15	13	6	41	16.4
19		21	20	14	2	57	22.8
20		13	22	16	0	51	20.4
21		23	18	11	7	59	23.6
22		19	22	15.5	2	58.5	23.4
23		14	32	16	9	71	28.4
24		9	19	11	7	46	18.4
25		23	14	16	8	61	24.4
26		21	17	13	6	57	22.8
27		20	25	11	10	66	26.4
28		16	19	13	4	52	20.8
29		22	8	14	3	47	18.8

Assessment Process – Calculating

(Preparing Assessment Data)

Assessment Item	Oiz 1	Quiz 1	Tant Orientian 4	iest 1 - Question 1	Tact 1 - Ouection 2			Assignment Report 1 - Content		Assignment Report 1 - Layout & Organization	Assignment Report 1 - Writing Skills		1651 Z (d)	Test 2 (b)		- Final Exam - Section A - עב-עס	Final Exam - Section B - Q1	Final Exam - Section B - Q2	Final Exam - Section C		
CLO Assessed	1		1		2		3			3	3	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	3		
PO Assessed	1	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	3	4	4	2	3	3	1		2	2	3		
FULL MARK FOR EACH ITEM	10	0	Į	5	1.	5		50		20	30	2	5	25	5	0	20	20	10		
% OF FULL MARK FOR CLO	100		100		100		100			100	100	50	50	100	40	60	100	100	100		
% OF FULL MARK FOR PO	80	20	50	50	40	60	10	40	50	100	100	20	80	100	100		100	100	100		
PERCENTAGES FOR EACH ITEM	5	5	Į	5	1	5		10		4	6	7	.5	7.5	2	.0	8	8	4	100	
NO NAME										SC	ORE									TOTAL	GRADE
1 STUDENT 1	10	0	ļ	5	1!	5		50		20	30	2	5	25	5	0	20	20	10	100	A+
2 STUDENT 2	8	3	4	4	1	2		40		16	24	2	.0	20	4	0	16	16	8	80	A
3 STUDENT 3	5	5	2	.5	7.	5		25		10	15	12	2.5	12.5	2	.5	10	10	5	50	с
4 STUDENT 4	2	2		1	3			10		4	6		5	5	1	.0	4	4	2	20	E
5 STUDENT 5	1	L	0	.5	1.	5		5		2	3	2	.5	2.5	Ę	5	2	2	1	10	E
6 STUDENT 6	1(0		2	1	2		34		12	23	2	1	13	2	4	12	15	10	67.4	В
7 STUDENT 7	8	3		5	8			50		8	20	1	.2	25	3	4	20	20	10	77.3	A-

innovative • entrepreneurial • global

JTM ERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

www.utm.my

Assessment Process – Calculating

(Preparing Assessment Data – Simpler Version)

	ASSESSMENT ITEMS	ASSESSMENT 1	ASSESSMENT 2	ASSESSMENT 3	ASSESSMENT 4	ASSESSMENT 5	ASSESSMENT 6	
	CLO	CLO 1	CLO 2	CLO 5	CLO 4	CLO 3	CLO 2	
	PO	PO 1	PO 2	PO 11	PO 7	PO 4	PO 2	
	FULL MARK	10	100	50	100	25	40	
	PERCENTAGES (%)	5	10	15	20	25	25	100
NO	STUDENT NAME			S	CORE			TOTAL
1	STUDENT 1	10	100	50	100	25	40	100
2	STUDENT 2	8	80	40	80	20	32	80
3	STUDENT 3	5	50	25	50	17 E	20	ΕO
			50	25	50	12.5	20	50
4	STUDENT 4	3	30	15	30	7.5	12	30
4 5	STUDENT 4 STUDENT 5	3 2	30 20	15 10	30 30 20	7.5	20 12 8	30 30 20
4 5 6	STUDENT 4 STUDENT 5 STUDENT 6	3 2 1	30 20 10	15 10 5	30 30 20 10	7.5 5 2.5	20 12 8 4	30 30 20 10
4 5 6 7	STUDENT 4 STUDENT 5 STUDENT 6 STUDENT 7	3 2 1 9	30 20 10 90	15 10 5 45	30 20 10 90	7.5 5 2.5 22.5	20 12 8 4 36	30 30 20 10 90
4 5 6 7 8	STUDENT 4 STUDENT 5 STUDENT 6 STUDENT 7 STUDENT 8	3 2 1 9 7	30 20 10 90 70	23 15 10 5 45 35	30 20 10 90 70	7.5 5 2.5 22.5 17.5	20 12 8 4 36 28	30 30 20 10 90 70
4 5 6 7 8 9	STUDENT 4 STUDENT 5 STUDENT 6 STUDENT 7 STUDENT 8 STUDENT 9	3 2 1 9 7 6	30 20 10 90 70 60	23 15 10 5 45 35 30	30 20 10 90 70 60	7.5 5 2.5 22.5 17.5 15	20 12 8 4 36 28 24	30 30 20 10 90 70 60

innovative • entrepreneurial • global



STEP 5 : Analysing the Result

The results / findings are numbers. Analysing is to give meaning (interpretation) to the numbers .



SMK 2722 - SHIP PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY - SEM II 2007-08

OVERALL PO ANALYSIS

	Acquire Knowledge	Apply Knowledge	Design & Evaluate	Critical Thinking & Explore Marine Technology Knowledge	Ability to Lead and Manage	Technical Report Writing	Communication	Team Working	Entrepreneurship	Life Long Learning	Professional Ethics
	PO 1	PO 2	PO 3	PO 4	PO 5	PO 6	PO 7	PO 8	PO 9	PO 10	PO 11
PO - MAPPING	а	а	с	с	2		1	2		1	
PO - MARK DISTRIBUTION	34	34	6	5.5	0	2	5	2	0	5	6.5
PO - SUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	58.5	76.3	38.5	68.5	0.0	46.4	73.0	68.4	0.0	62.2	90.7

PO IMPELMENTATION

Comment 1 : All POs that need to addressed and assessed had been addressed accordingly with appropriate degree of emphasis (%).

Comment 2 : In addition, Technical Report Writing (PO 6) Professional Ethics (PO 11) assessment were also included



SMK 2722 - SHIP PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY - SEM II 2007-08

OVERALL PO ANALYSIS

	Acquire Knowledge	Apply Knowledge	Design & Evaluate	Critical Thinking & Explore Marine Technology Knowledge	Ability to Lead and Manage	Technical Report Writing	Communication	Team Working	Entrepreneurship	Life Long Learning	Professional Ethics
	PO 1	PO 2	PO 3	PO 4	PO 5	PO 6	PO 7	PO 8	PO 9	PO 10	PO 11
PO - MAPPING	а	а	С	с	2		1	2		1	
PO - MARK DISTRIBUTION	34	34	6	5.5	0	2	5	2	0	5	6.5
PO - SUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	58.5	76.3	38.5	68.5	0.0	46.4	73.0	68.4	0.0	62.2	90.7

STUDENTS ACHIEVEMENT

Comment 1 : On Technical Knowledge, students are <u>quite good</u> at applying (performing calculation - PO 2) but <u>low</u> at Understanding (ability to explain - PO 1). Students ability to create or propose something new from knowledge learned is <u>very low</u>.

Comment 2 : On Generic Skill - Relatively good achievement except for <u>Technical Report</u> Writing.



Assessment Process – Analysing

Average are achie nd make co SS 7.3	6.9 1.4 2 : 68.7% eved by the comparison FAIL 2.7	2.7 0.0 e stude)	1.4 nts(Ple	0.0 ease s	0.0 state MME	0.0 the	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Average are achie nd make co SS 7.3	: 68.7% eved by the comparison FAIL 2.7	e stude) Goo	nts(Ple	ease s CO	state MME	the NT					
Average are achie nd make co SS 7.3	: 68.7% eved by the comparison FAIL 2.7	e stude) Goo	nts(Ple	ease s CO	state MME	the NT					
are achie nd make co SS 7.3	eved by the comparison FAIL 2.7	e stude) Goo	nts(Ple	ease s CO	state MME	the NT			_		
SS 7.3	FAIL 2.7	Goo	d	co	MME	NT			_		
7.3	2.7	Goo	d								
			-								
6	4	Goo	d								
1.5	5.5	Good									
6	4	Goo	d	045							
lil	Nil	Not	Assess	sed							
lil	Nil	Not	Assess	sed							
.5	5.5	Goo	d								
6	4	Goo	d								
7	3	Goo	Good								
	.5 6 il il .5 6 7	.5 5.5 6 4 il Nil il Nil .5 5.5 6 4 7 3	.5 5.5 Goo 6 4 Goo il Nil Not il Nil Not .5 5.5 Goo 6 4 Goo 7 3 Goo	.5 5.5 Good 6 4 Good il Nil Not Assess il Nil Not Assess .5 5.5 Good 6 4 Good 7 3 Good	.55.5Good64GoodilNilNot AssessedilNilNot Assessed.55.5Good64Good73Good						

CLO 5 & 6 was not assessed due to time constraint - CLO to be revised in Future

Assessment Process – Analysing (CLO)

Able to propose and evaluate design work related to ship structures, material take off and stability	76.7%	Achieved KPI
Able to suggest improvement to the design work that has been carried out	34.2%	Poor Performance. Student need to be exposed more on critically thinking in making suggestion to improve their design works
Able to distribute the design task, manage and monitor the design project effectively	70.3%	Achieved KPI
Able to work in team effectively	90.6%	Excellent Team working performance
Able to indentify the amout of manhours and design fee related to the design project	75.2%	Achieved KPI
Able to seek and use effectively additional knowledge or information from other sources	61.7%	Below KPI - Still lack of effort in seeking additional information from other source other than the

UTTM IVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

lecturer's note

Assessment Process – Analysing (CLO)

Please indicate how well you believe these course outcomes were effective on the scale of 5 = accomplished well to 1 = accomplished poorly and 0 = not accomplished.

1	The course outcomes are clearly understood by the students	5
2	The teaching methods in this course help the students to achieve the course outcomes.	5
3	The learning resources in this course help them to achieve the course outcomes.	5
4	The assessment tasks in this course evaluate the student.s achievement of the course outcomes	5
5	Feedback by the students on my work in the students helps me to improve my teaching methods	3
6	The workload in this course is appropriate to the achievement of the course outcomes	4
7	Overall, I am satisfied with the running of the course.	4

Students Feedback Please describe students. feedbacks from either the e.PPP or other means.	Nothing much from students comment in ePPP. Some samples 1. Awesome lecturer! 2. nice try try do the best 3. Projek yang diberikan oleh pensyarah yang sedikit sebanyak memberi pendedahan kepada industri sebenar amat menarik. 4. terima kasih kerana sudi mengajar saya.
feedbacks from either the e.PPP or other means.	Projek yang diberikan oleh pensyarah yang sedikit sebanyak memberi pendedahan kepada industri sebenar amat menarik. 4. terima kasih kerana sudi mengajar saya.

SITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

Assessment Process – Analysing (PO)

P02	74.106%	Achieved KPI with average class score above 65 %. Except one student, the rest of the class has achieved the expected level of their ability to perform the design calculation and assessment thereof.
P03	76.685%	Achieved KPI with average class score above 65 %. All students had achieved the expected level of design work requirements with several achieved excellent level.
P04	33.754%	Poor performance. Students (final year) has still lack ability to critically think on how to suggest or propose improvement to the design works done. Unable to think outside the subject matter.
P05	70,301%	Achieved KPI, indicating students are able to plan, managed, monitored and delivered the design work within the time frame.
PO6	80.711%	Good performance in technical report writing.
P07	0%	
P08	90.612%	Excellent performance in Team working during the design project implementation
PO9	75.155%	Good performance on entrepreneurship based on the ability of the student to determine the cost of the design project based on manhours estimation.
P010	61.654%	Below KPI on life long learning. In general students still rely mainly on the lecturer's note.

JTTM RSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA



STEP 6 : Propose Improvements

The Analysis made on the results / findings, provides the necessary information on what and how actions need to be taken in order to improve students achievement.

Assessment Process – Improvements

SMK 2722 - SHIP PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY - SEM II 2007-08

OVERALL PO ANALYSIS

	Acquire Knowledge	Apply Knowledge	Design & Evaluate	Critical Thinking & Explore Marine Technology Knowledge	Ability to Lead and Manage	Technical Report Writing	Communication	Team Working	Entrepreneurship	Clife Long Learning	Professional Ethics
PO - MAPPING	a	a	c	г 0 4	2	FUS	1	2	FUS	1	FUT
PO - MARK DISTRIBUTION	34	34	6	5.5	0	2	5	2	0	5	6.5
PO - SUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	58.5	76.3	38.5	68.5	0.0	46.4	73.0	68.4	0.0	62.2	90.7

SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

- 1 : Include PO 6 and PO 11 in the PO Mapping
- 2 : Give more emphasis (more exercise) on understanding and explaining ability (PO 1)
- 3 : Utilize alternative Teaching Method (PBL) to improve PO 3.
- 4 : More (exercises and examples) on good Technical Report Writing (PO 6)



Assessment Process – Improvement

(Reflection)

Describe to what extend the course has contributed towards the achievement of the programme outcomes (Please state the performance criteria or achievement level and make appropriate remarks)	In general students are able to perform and deliver the design work according to the standard requirements. They are able to plan and manage the project well and delivered within time stipulated. Good in report writing and excellent in Team working. However they are still very poor in critically thinking and still lacking in their ability to seek additional knowledge / information from other sources other than the lecture's note - something that are quite worrying for final year students

Refle	ections

Please include the analysis of data, areas of improvement and action plan to be taken at course or programme level. The students, in general are quite good in performing and delivering the design job if they are coached properly. They can work in team effectively if you give the opportunity. The only worrying me is their lack of ability in Critical thinking and life long learning. These two skills are essential to make them a better employee / person in future. I need to create ways of enhancing these abilities in future.



<u>Assessment Process – Improvements</u>

	4			Tec	hnical				(Seneric	Skill		
No	Course	Course Name	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11
1		•	87.0	86.0									
2			83.9	73.2									
3			66.7	68.7		l l		74.1			i i		
4			74.7	78.7	1.0						i i		
5			54.7	80.0	100.0	72.9		100				22	
6			71.0	65.0	68.0			71.0	125.	77.0	1	1	1000
7			60.0	66.5	46.8	46.7	79.7	69.2	53.7	51.2	1	39.6	81.3
8			74.9	75.9	68.0	61.3	87.3	66.6	75.3	76.0			87.3
9			66.6	78.2	27.8	27.7	2			4	/3		
10			66.0	73.0	10.0		95.0	- 5	95.0			3	-
11			76.0	59.8	83.8	100			-				84.0
12			53.8	50.2	43.2	50.7						5	
13			71.2	59.4	49.4	1							90.0
14			66.7	65,3	72.9	63.9							
15				72.7	70.8	71.9	74.2	72.9	69.3	71.8		73.7	
16			65.9	64.3	64.6	68.5	69.4	67.6	58.1			60.7	67.6
17				_	-						71.5		_
18			79.0	40.1		_							
19			68.5	63.5	65.2	67.8	68.8	64.9	60.4			64.3	69.3
20		-		72.0	72.0	72.0		72.0				12	72.0
		Average (%)	68.9	67.0	60.2	55.3	79.1	69.8	68.6	69.0	71.5	59.6	78.8

Table 3: PO attainment for all courses taken in Semester 2, Session 08/09 for the SMK programme



Assessment Process – Improvements

Table 5 : CQI Actions

NO	RESULTS / FINDING	CQI ACTION
1	POs Achieved or Above KPI	To be maintained, enhanced and continuously monitored. More involvemnt from other courses.
2	PO 3 and PO 4 below KPI	Provide more tarining / examples / questions that relevant to PO 3 & 4 assessment. More exposure in early year of study. More courses addressed and assessed these POs
3	POs below KPI at course level	Identify courses that frequently achieved PO below KPI and determine the main factors. Course owner to undergo training on various T&L techniques to improve delivery and assessment of low Pos. Encourage team teaching and mentor-mentee concept to improve POs achievement
4	Higher no of samples for analysis	Involvement from many more courses including service courses. More systematic procedure in retrieving, documenting and storing the PO data. Development of on line web based system to facilitate the PO analysis process.



STEP 7 : Documentation

All evidences relating to assessment process, methods, tools, templates, rubrics, results, analysis and suggestion for improvements need to be documented systematically for accreditation purposes.

Assessment details at <u>course level</u> to be kept in COURSE FILE

At <u>programme level</u>, overall findings and CQI actions to be documented in a PO REPORT.

Assessment Process – Documentation

Example of Course File Contents

COURSE PORTFOLIO - PROPOSED

Purpose : To provide a complete, systematic, consistent and integrated document related to the contents, teaching and learning methods and assessment methods of a course offered in a particular programme.

Justification : To be used as part of accreditation documents. Continuous improvement process. Reference / guidelines for new lecturer.

Preparation : Lecturer who teach the course (If more than one lecturers involved, a coordinator need to be appointed)

Storage : All information should be kept in one file and the fail should be kept in the Head of Department office.

Monitoring : Head of Department / Head of Panel

Confidentiality : Materials compiled in the portfolio must be treated as confidential documents to the outside parties.

NO	CONTENTS	DETAILS	PURPOSE / JUSTIFICATION
1	COVER PAGE	Showing course name and code, Programme title, Department / Field, Faculty / University and Year	Indicating a complete and integrated information of a course
2	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Table of contents	Provide easy way for checking. Every content should be separated by a separator page.
3	CURRICULUM	Table of Curriculum that is used for the programme. The course should be highlighted.	Showing the overall courses offered in the curriculum
4	SYLLABUS (L1)	Syllabus (L1) used in the program curriculum.	Detail and clear information related to course learning outcomes, course contents, and assessment methods.
5	CLO – PLO MAPPING	Mapping of CLO to PLO	Showing how course learning outcomes are connected / contributing to Programme Learning Outcomes.

Assessment Process – Documentation

6	LECTURER / INSTRUCTOR	Name(s) and Brief CV of the lecturer (s) teaching the course (May be more than one). CV focus on Academic Qualification, Teaching Experience and T&L research / Contribution. Latest Photo may be included.	Provide evidence on Lecturer's qualification, experience and contribution in Teaching.
7	TEACHING STRATEGY (L2)	Weekly / by Topic / One Session Teaching Strategy	Provide detail information regarding T & L activities and Implementation of Generic Skills.
8	TEACHING MODULE / NOTES / TEXT BOOKS / ADDITIONAL REFERENCES	Lecture Notes, Module, Text Book / Reference Books (For Books, only the Title Page are required)	Provide evidence on the appropriateness of the note and books to the syllabus of the course.
9	COURSE WORKS	Questions and answers / marking Scheme for Tests, Assignments, Projects, Quizzes, Tutorials etc.	Showing the content of course works and method of assessment is in line with the CLO. Also indicating that the assessment is done systematically and fairly.
10	FINAL EXAM	Final Examination Questions, Answer & Marking Scheme, and selected students answer scripts.	Provide evidence on the quality of examination questions that is in line with CLO and the assessment was done fairly and consistently.
11	ASSESSMENT FORM FOR FINAL EXAM AND COURSE WORKS	Assessment / Checking Form on the examination questions and course works– bloom's level and its percentages. Used by Head of Panel and moderator.	Evidence showing that all examination questions and course had undergone checking and assessment process as to ensure that all assessment for each course is inline with the course CLO.
12	ANALYSIS AND FEED BACK SURVEY	Exit survey, feedback, self assessment and reflection on the T & L process of the course.	Showing that a system of feedback is being implemented.
13	CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	Effort and Suggestion for continuous improvement for the course.	Showing that a continuous improvement system is in place.
14	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	Any information (documentation, paper etc) related to T & L of the course.	Indicating addition effort, references that help the improvement of T & L process.

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

Assessment Process – Documentation

1.0	Introduction	3
	1.1 Overview	
	1.2 Programme Learning Outcomes (PO)	
	1.3 Key Performance Index (KPI)	
2.0	Mechanism to Quantify PO Attainment	5
3.0	Data on PO Attainment	6
4.0	Data Analysis	12
	4.1 No of Samples	
	4.2 San II 2007-08	
	4.3 Sem I 2008-09	
	4.4 San II 2008-09	
	4.5 All Semesters	
5.0	Continual Quality Improvements (CQI)	13
6.0	Conclusion	14

Report

on

Sample of PO Report

Student Programme Learning Outcomes (PO) Attainment

Bachelor of Engineering (Mechanical – Marine Technology) Programme - SMK -

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Skudai

> > June 2009



End of Part 2

www.utm.my



Part 3 Cont. Quality Improvement







innovative • entrepreneurial • global





innovative • entrepreneurial • global

www.utm.my

CQI REPORTING TEMPLATE

PREVIOUS SEMESTER / SESSION

FINITIAL PARTICIPATION

SEM / SESSION :				
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME:		COURSE OWNER:	
ISSUE / COMMENTS ON STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	SUGGESTION FOR CQI	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
		NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (HoD / TDA)
	, i	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
		DATE	DATE	DATE

CURRENT SEMESTER / SESSION

SEM / SESSION :	•				
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWNER :	_	
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
			NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (HoD / TDA)
			SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
			DATE	DATE	DATE

CQI REPORTING TEMPLATE

CURRENT SEMESTER / SESSION

UTTM

			-		
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWNER :		
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
	•		NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (HoD / TDA)
			SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
	, · · ·		DATE	DATE	DATE
NEXT SEMESTER / SESSION					
NEXT SEMESTER / SESSION SEM / SESSION : COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWNER :		
NEXT SEMESTER / SESSION SEM / SESSION : COURSE CODE : CQI ACTION TAKEN	COURSE NAME : STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	COURSE OWNER : SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
NEXT SEMESTER / SESSION SEM / SESSION : COURSE CODE : CQI ACTION TAKEN	COURSE NAME : STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	COURSE OWNER : SUGGESTED BY NAME: (Course Owner)	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY NAME: (Course Owner)	ENDORSED BY NAME: (HoD / TDA)
NEXT SEMESTER / SESSION SEM / SESSION : COURSE CODE : CQI ACTION TAKEN	COURSE NAME : STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	COURSE OWNER : SUGGESTED BY NAME: (Course Owner) SIGNATURE	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY NAME: (Course Owner) SIGNATURE	ENDORSED BY NAME: (HoD / TDA) SIGNATURE



PREVIOUS SEMESTER / SESSION (Half Cycle)

UTTM

SEM / SESSION : II 2011-2012				
COURSE CODE : SMK 4542	COURSE NAME: SHIP DESIGN III		COURSE OWNER: YAHYA SAMIAN	
ISSUE / COMMENTS / REFLECTION ON STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	SUGGESTION FOR CQI	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
The students, in general are quite good in performing and delivering the design job if they are coached properly. They can work in team effectively if you give the	(1) For <i>Critical Thinking</i> : Need to use PBL approach in class and coach them to think critically giving small critical thinking exercises in class.	NAME: YAHYA SAMIAN	NAME: YAHYA SAMIAN	NAME: KJAAS
is their lack of ability in Critical thinking (PO 4) and life long	(2) For <i>Life Long Learning</i> : Coach the students to refer to various	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
<i>learning (PO 10)</i> . These two skills are essential to make them a better employee / person in future. I need to create ways of enhancing these abilities in future.	design tasks and acknowledge the sources appropriately	DATE	DATE	DATE



CURRENT SEMESTER / SESSION (Full Cycle)

UTTM NIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

SEM / SESSION :					
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWNER :		
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
(1) Using PBL approach in Design Project to Improve Critical Thinking - Only for the third Design Task	Some improvement on critical thinking (based on selection of design methods and analysis discussed in report)	Need more exposure on critical thinking project / assignments. Need variety of Assessment method	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: KJAAS
(2) Using various literature resources to solve design problems (Not merely from class note) to enhance Life Long Learning Ability	Great improvement, Student were able to outsource beyond my expectation on materials that directly assist their design task.	To be maintained in future	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
			DATE	DATE	DATE



CQI REPORTING SAMPLE

NEXT SEMESTER / SESSION (Continuous Cycle)

SEM / SESSION :					
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWNER :		
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
Need more exposure on critical thinking project /	Majority of students show good improvement	To be maintained wherever possible in future (Poster Presentation need rigorous planning)	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: KJAAS
assignments. Need variety of Assessment			SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
method - Using Poster Presentation Approach			DATE	DATE	DATE



CASE	CAUSE OF POOR PERFORMANCE	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENTS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	POSSIBLE CQI ACTION TO BE CARRIED OUT	MONITORING
1	Inappropriate or misalignment of T&L delivery or Assessment	Improve T & L or Assessment Methods	Same Lecturer	Self Reflection and Improvement	Self Monitoring
2	Students Poor Achievements (on certain learning outcomes / skills)	Students need to improve	Lecturers Teaching the same Bath at the following semester	Suggest improvement (Via HoD) action to be taken by the incomming lecturers	TD(A), HoD, Head of Panel, PA
3	Lack of understading of previous (or Pre- requisite) Courses	Improvement of T&L Delivery or Assessment Methods	Lecturers teaching the pre- requisite courses	Forward suggestion (Via HoD) to the lecturer teaching pre-requsites courses	TD(A), HoD, Head of Panel, PA
4	Poor Students Intake	Improve intake / Additional Measures	Faculty, University	Inform Faculty Management	Faculty
5	Poor Infrastructures (Facilities, Time Table, etc)	Improvements by Faculty ? University	Faculty, University	Inform Faculty Management	Faculty

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA



End of Part 3

www.utm.my



Concluding Remarks





CONCLUDING REMARKS

- Assessment must be aligned to the intended learning outcomes
- Assessment need to be planned, craft, measured and analysed

based on the intended learning outcomes

- Result of Assessment is an essential part of CQI process
- Documentation / Evidences is essential in OBE

Say what you do, do what you say.....


Hands on Assessment Mapping

www.utm.my

Mapping Final Exam Questions

Based on your incoming or previous Exam Questions;

For each question

- 1. Write what exactly do you want the student to able to do when answering the question (your expectation)
- 2. Identify the learning domain and its Taxonomy Level that the question is assessing
- 3. Determine which CO and PO that is(are) being assessed by the question

Repeat the above steps for all other questions

4. Prepare Mapping of Questions - Taxonomy - POCO

Does your *Assessment* appropriately **ALIGN** to the *Intended Learning outcomes* and *level of Taxonomy*???

innovative • entrepreneurial • global



ASSESSMENT MAPPING (FINAL EXAM)

NO	FINAL EXAM QUESTIONS	%	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	DOMAIN	LEVEL	со	РО
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							

Mapping Overall Assessment

Based on your overall Assessment (CW and FE);

For each Assessment Item

- 1. Determine the Full Mark and Percentage of the assessment to the total (100 %).
- 2. Identify which CLO and PO that the assessment is addressing and the percentage of it contribution. The total percentage MUST be 100

Repeat the above steps for all other assessment items

3. Calculate the total Mark for each CLO and PO

Does your *Assessment* appropriately *ALIGN* to the *Intended Learning outcomes Distribution*?

Assessment Mapping (OVERALL)

				CL	O DISTRI	BUTION	l	PO DISTRIBUTION					
See Sample				CLO 1 Description	CLO 2 Description	CLO 3 Description	TOTAL	PO 1 Description	PO 2 Description	PO 3 Description	PO 4 Description	TOTAL	
NO	ASSESSMENT ITEMS	Full Mark	%	CLO 1	CLO 2	CLO 3		PO 1	PO 2	PO 3	PO 4		
1							100					100	
2							100					100	
3							100					100	
4							100					100	
5							100					100	
6							100					100	
7							100					100	
8							100					100	
9							100					100	
10							100					100	
11							100					100	
12							100					100	
	TOTAL		100				100					100	
					ONTRIBL	TION		РС	CONT	RIBUTIC	ON		

JTM ERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA



Assessment Process – Planning (OVERALL)

NO	ASSESSMENT ITEMS	9/	FULL	CLO 1	CLO 2	CLO 3	CLO 4	CLO 5
		70	MARK	PO 1	PO 2	PO 4	PO 7	PO 11
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
TOTAL		100						

ð : Represent 100 % mapping

Provided : 1 CLO mapped to 1 PO and 1 Assessment Item Mapped to 1 CLO